



F

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST_NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/760,786	01/17/2001	Hirokazu Sakai	201989US3	4950	
22850	7590 01/31/2002				
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT PC			EXAMINER		
1755 JEFFEF	FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY			KOO, BENJAMIN KIM	
ARLINGTO	RLINGTON, VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		6)	3764		
			DATE MAILED: 01/31/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/760,786	SAKAI ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Benjamin Koo	3764		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to co	mmunication(s) filed on 17 J	<u>anuary 2001</u> .			
2a) This action is FIN	AL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/a	are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are	e subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is	objected to by the Examiner	·.	•		
10) The drawing(s) filed	l on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exam	miner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (I Notice of Draftsperson's Pate Information Disclosure States	ent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/760,786

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 2. Claims 1, 2, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Seiichi '634. Seiichi shows a unitary container (Fig. 3) comprising a body (1) having a central top opening, a cover (3) having a flat side with projections (6), and an inner cover (8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seiichi in view of Per-Lee '455. Seiichi shows all the structural and functional limitations of the invention as set forth in the previous paragraphs of this office action except for the side cover for the projections. Per-lee shows a massaging brush having a cover (34). It would have been obvious to incorporate a brush cover in Seiichi because covers are old and well known for the purpose of isolating the bristles for the purpose of protection from damage as well as sanitation for hygiene.

Application/Control Number: 09/760,786

Art Unit: 3764

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin Koo whose telephone number is 703-308-2657. The examiner can normally be reached on M, W-F; 9:30-8.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeanette Chapman can be reached on 703-308-1310. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0758 for regular communications and 703-746-4892 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

bk January 25, 2002

Planey Exeminer

Mihala. Br